An Algorithm, Implementation and Execution
Ontology Design Pattern

Agnieszka Ławrynowicz¹, Diego Esteves², Panče Panov³,
Tommaso Soru², Sašo Džeroski³ and Joaquin Vanschoren⁴

¹Faculty of Computing, Poznan University of Technology, Poznan, Poland
²AKSW, University of Leipzig, Germany
³Department of Knowledge Technologies, Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
⁴Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

October 18, 2016
WOP 2016

MLSchema
The intent of the design pattern is to model algorithm specifications, their implementations and executions. This includes also the parameters of implementations, settings of these parameters for a specific execution, as well as the inputs that the execution consumes (e.g., data) and the outputs it produces (e.g., models, reports).
Competency questions

- Which algorithm is implemented by this implementation?
- What are the implementations of this algorithm?
- Which implementation is executed?
- What are the parameters of this implementation?
- What are the parameter settings of particular parameters in this execution?
- What is the input to this implementation execution?
- What is the output produced by this implementation execution?
- What algorithm does this execution realize?
- What task does this execution achieves?
- What is the duration of this execution?
- What are the inputs this task is defined on?
Pattern formalization

Algorithm ⊆ InformationEntity
Implementation ⊆ InformationEntity
Implementation ⊆ ∃implements.Algorithm
Implementation ⊆ ∃hasParameter.Parameter
   Execution ⊆ Process
   Execution ⊆ ∃hasInput.ParameterSetting
   Execution ⊆ ∃realizes.Algorithm
   Execution ⊆ ∃achieves.Task
   Execution ⊆ ∃hasDuration TimeInterval
Parameter ⊆ InformationEntity
ParameterSetting ⊆ InformationEntity
ParameterSetting ⊆ ∃specifiedBy.Parameter
ParameterSetting ⊆ ∃hasValue.rdfs : Literal
   Input ⊆ InformationEntity
Output ⊆ InformationEntity
Task ⊆ InformationEntity
Task ⊆ ∃definedOn.Input
   ⊤ ⊆ ∀hasInput.Input
   ⊤ ⊆ ∀hasOutput.Output
Example scenario: Machine Learning Domain

The scenario deals with an ML task realization based on an example derived from the OpenML portal.
MACHINE LEARNING SCHEMA COMMUNITY GROUP

This group represents a collaborative, community effort with a mission to develop, maintain, and promote standard schemas for data mining and machine learning algorithms, datasets, and experiments. Our target is a community agreed schema as a basis for ontology development projects, markup languages and data exchange standards; and an extension model for the schema in the area of data mining and machine learning. The goals of this group are: To define a simple shared schema of data mining/machine learning (DM/ML) algorithms, datasets, and experiments that may be used in many different formats: XML, RDF, OWL, spreadsheet tables. Collect use cases from the academic community and industry Use this schema as a basis to align existing DM/ML ontologies and develop more specific ontologies with specific purposes/applications Prevent a proliferation of incompatible DM/ML ontologies Turn machine learning algorithms and results into linked open data Promote the use of this schema, including involving stakeholders like ML tool developers Apply for funding (e.g. EU COST, UK Research Councils, Horizon2020 Coordination and Support Actions) to organize workshops, and for dissemination

Note: Community Groups are proposed and run by the community. Although W3C hosts these conversations, the groups do not necessarily represent the views of the W3C Membership or staff.
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